

# CE7451: Research Methods in Computer Science & Engineering

## Literature Review

Arvind Easwaran

School of Computer Engineering  
Nanyang Technological University (NTU)

August 19, 2013

# Today's Focus

Various types of technical literature that exist, and what does it mean to “review” them

# Disclaimer

Although I will present most of the examples from a specific area in Computer Science (namely, real-time systems), the conclusions drawn are general and widely applicable at least in Computer Science & Engineering, and possibly even in Science & Engineering

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Conference/Workshop Papers

- ▶ Audience is highly specialized (e.g., real-time systems, FPGAs, graphics)
- ▶ Primary intention is to convey the results

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Conference/Workshop Papers

- ▶ Audience is highly specialized (e.g., real-time systems, FPGAs, graphics)
- ▶ Primary intention is to convey the results
- ▶ An example conference paper

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Conference/Workshop Papers

- ▶ Audience is highly specialized (e.g., real-time systems, FPGAs, graphics)
- ▶ Primary intention is to convey the results
- ▶ An example conference paper

## Journal Papers

- ▶ Audience from a variety of specializations (e.g., Computer Science & Engineering)
- ▶ Primary intention is to convey the results and their significance
- ▶ Secondary intention is to introduce the research area

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Conference/Workshop Papers

- ▶ Audience is highly specialized (e.g., real-time systems, FPGAs, graphics)
- ▶ Primary intention is to convey the results
- ▶ An example conference paper

## Journal Papers

- ▶ Audience from a variety of specializations (e.g., Computer Science & Engineering)
- ▶ Primary intention is to convey the results and their significance
- ▶ Secondary intention is to introduce the research area
- ▶ An example journal paper in the same area



# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Patents

- ▶ Audience is highly specialized in a specific industry (e.g., aviation, automotive)
- ▶ Primary intention is to “teach innovation”
- ▶ Contains a lot of legal jargon, making it a very tough read

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Patents

- ▶ Audience is highly specialized in a specific industry (e.g., aviation, automotive)
- ▶ Primary intention is to “teach innovation”
- ▶ Contains a lot of legal jargon, making it a very tough read
- ▶ Patent in the same area as the conference paper

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Patents

- ▶ Audience is highly specialized in a specific industry (e.g., aviation, automotive)
- ▶ Primary intention is to “teach innovation”
- ▶ Contains a lot of legal jargon, making it a very tough read
- ▶ Patent in the same area as the conference paper

## Books

- ▶ Audience from a general area or discipline (e.g., Computer Science, Electrical)
- ▶ Primary intention is to teach about a broad technical area (e.g., Real-Time Systems)

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Patents

- ▶ Audience is highly specialized in a specific industry (e.g., aviation, automotive)
- ▶ Primary intention is to “teach innovation”
- ▶ Contains a lot of legal jargon, making it a very tough read
- ▶ Patent in the same area as the conference paper

## Books

- ▶ Audience from a general area or discipline (e.g., Computer Science, Electrical)
- ▶ Primary intention is to teach about a broad technical area (e.g., Real-Time Systems)
- ▶ An example book in real-time systems

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Whitepapers

- ▶ Audience from a variety of domains (e.g., Managers, Academia, Government agencies)
- ▶ Primary intention is to discuss broad technical concepts and issues

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Whitepapers

- ▶ Audience from a variety of domains (e.g., Managers, Academia, Government agencies)
- ▶ Primary intention is to discuss broad technical concepts and issues
- ▶ Whitepaper in the same area as the conference paper

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Whitepapers

- ▶ Audience from a variety of domains (e.g., Managers, Academia, Government agencies)
- ▶ Primary intention is to discuss broad technical concepts and issues
- ▶ Whitepaper in the same area as the conference paper

## Magazine articles

- ▶ Very general audience (e.g., Engineers, Analysts)
- ▶ Primary intention is to introduce a broad technical area
- ▶ Examples: IEEE Computer, IEEE Spectrum, PCQuest?

# Types of technical literature in CS & E

## Whitepapers

- ▶ Audience from a variety of domains (e.g., Managers, Academia, Government agencies)
- ▶ Primary intention is to discuss broad technical concepts and issues
- ▶ Whitepaper in the same area as the conference paper

## Magazine articles

- ▶ Very general audience (e.g., Engineers, Analysts)
- ▶ Primary intention is to introduce a broad technical area
- ▶ Examples: IEEE Computer, IEEE Spectrum, PCQuest?
- ▶ IEEE Computer article on Cyber-Physical Systems



# What is a REVIEW?

# What is a REVIEW?

## Definitions

1. A critical evaluation (of a book or play)<sup>Merriam-Webster</sup>
2. A report or essay giving a critical estimate of a work or performance<sup>Free Online Dictionary</sup>

# What is a REVIEW?

## Definitions

1. A critical evaluation (of a book or play)<sup>Merriam-Webster</sup>
2. A report or essay giving a critical estimate of a work or performance<sup>Free Online Dictionary</sup>

## Reading versus Reviewing

1. Read: To learn from what one has seen or found in writing or printing<sup>Merriam-Webster</sup>

# What is a REVIEW?

## Definitions

1. A critical evaluation (of a book or play)<sup>Merriam-Webster</sup>
2. A report or essay giving a critical estimate of a work or performance<sup>Free Online Dictionary</sup>

## Reading versus Reviewing

1. Read: To learn from what one has seen or found in writing or printing<sup>Merriam-Webster</sup>

## Example

Some example review lines you can use too!

# Why should I REVIEW?

- ▶ Discover themes in how people have tried to solve problems in the past: Methods, tools, strengths & limitations

# Why should I REVIEW?

- ▶ Discover themes in how people have tried to solve problems in the past: Methods, tools, strengths & limitations
- ▶ Establish your own **novelty**: If you don't know what's been done, you don't know what's new

# Why should I REVIEW?

- ▶ Discover themes in how people have tried to solve problems in the past: Methods, tools, strengths & limitations
- ▶ Establish your own **novelty**: If you don't know what's been done, you don't know what's new
- ▶ Demonstrate knowledge of prior work in your area

# Why should I REVIEW?

- ▶ Discover themes in how people have tried to solve problems in the past: Methods, tools, strengths & limitations
- ▶ Establish your own **novelty**: If you don't know what's been done, you don't know what's new
- ▶ Demonstrate knowledge of prior work in your area
- ▶ Identify open/unsolved problems to work on



# Some things to keep in mind

- ▶ Understand the paper before drawing conclusions

# Some things to keep in mind

- ▶ Understand the paper before drawing conclusions
- ▶ Put the paper in perspective to others that you have read

# Some things to keep in mind

- ▶ **Understand** the paper before drawing conclusions
- ▶ Put the paper in **perspective** to others that you have read
- ▶ Identify the key ideas and assumptions of the paper, and translate them into something you are more comfortable with

# Some things to keep in mind

- ▶ **Understand** the paper before drawing conclusions
- ▶ Put the paper in **perspective** to others that you have read
- ▶ Identify the key ideas and assumptions of the paper, and translate them into something you are more comfortable with
- ▶ Store those key translated points for eternity in your long-term memory, to be recalled at a moment's notice

# Some things to keep in mind

- ▶ **Understand** the paper before drawing conclusions
- ▶ Put the paper in **perspective** to others that you have read
- ▶ Identify the key ideas and assumptions of the paper, and translate them into something you are more comfortable with
- ▶ Store those key translated points for eternity in your long-term memory, to be recalled at a moment's notice
- ▶ **Irrespective of what you are trying to solve, someone has already done something that you can bootstrap from!**

# Pitfalls to avoid

- ▶ I read the abstract and concluded my review of that paper

## Pitfalls to avoid

- ▶ I read the abstract and concluded my review of that paper
- ▶ I do not understand this paper, therefore it must be a “Nobel-worthy” result

## Pitfalls to avoid

- ▶ I read the abstract and concluded my review of that paper
- ▶ I do not understand this paper, therefore it must be a "Nobel-worthy" result
- ▶ This paper has very fancy looking and colorful graphs/pictures, therefore I love it



## Pitfalls to avoid

- ▶ I read the abstract and concluded my review of that paper
- ▶ I do not understand this paper, therefore it must be a "Nobel-worthy" result
- ▶ This paper has very fancy looking and colorful graphs/pictures, therefore I love it
- ▶ One of the authors is very famous, so the paper has to be a great one

## Pitfalls to avoid

- ▶ I read the abstract and concluded my review of that paper
- ▶ I do not understand this paper, therefore it must be a “Nobel-worthy” result
- ▶ This paper has very fancy looking and colorful graphs/pictures, therefore I love it
- ▶ One of the authors is very famous, so the paper has to be a great one
- ▶ It is OK to read a technical paper like a novel; reading 2 words, skipping the next 5, reading 2 words, skipping the next 5, ...

# Irrespective of what I said, this is how you will review

## The Piled Higher & Deeper Paper Review Worksheet

Stuck reviewing papers for your advisor? Just add up the points using this helpful grade sheet to determine your recommendation.

No reading necessary!

Paper title uses witty pun, colon or begins with "On..." (+10 pt)	
Paper has pretty graphics and/or 3D plots (+10 pt)	
Paper has lots of equations (+10 pt) (add +5 if they look like gibberish to you)	
Author is a labmate (+10 pt)	
Author is on your thesis committee (+60 pt)	
Paper is on same topic as your thesis (-30 pt)	
Paper cites your work (+20 pt)	
Paper scooped your results (-1000 pt)	
TOTAL	

# This is how you will react when you receive a review

Reaction to paper review